RECEIVED 09 SEP 25 AM 11: 19 2 HEARINGS CLERK 3 FPA -- REGION 10 4 5 BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 6 7 In the Matter of: 8 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0232 9 CHILKOOT FISH AND CAVIAR, INC. COMPLAINT Haines, Alaska 10 Respondent 11 12 I. AUTHORITIES 13 This Administrative Complaint ("Complaint") is issued under the authority vested 14 1.1. in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 309(g) of 15 the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The Administrator has delegated this 16 authority to the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 10, who in turn has redelegated this 17 authority to the Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement in Region 10. 18 Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), and in 19 20 accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties," 40 C.F.R Part 22, EPA hereby proposes the assessment of a civil penalty 21 against Chilkoot Fish and Caviar, Inc. ("Chilkoot" or "Respondent") for violations of the CWA. 22 23

COMPLAINT - 1 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0232

24

25

1.3. In accordance with Section 309(g)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), and 40 C.F.R. § 22.38(b), EPA will provide the State of Alaska with an opportunity to consult within thirty (30) days following proof of service of this complaint on Respondent.

II. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND

- 2.1. Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), makes unlawful the discharge of any pollutant by any person except as authorized by an National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit or other specified statutory sections.
- 2.2. Section 402(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(a), authorizes the Administrator of EPA to issue NPDES permits for the discharge of pollutants and to prescribe conditions for such permits, including conditions on data and information collection, reporting, and such requirements as she deems necessary to carry out the provisions of the Act.
- 2.3. Section 308 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1318, provides that whenever required to carry out the objectives of the Act, *i.e.*, the restoration and maintenance of the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the Nation's waters (33 U.S.C. § 1251(a)), the Administrator shall require the owner or operator of any point source to establish and maintain such records, make such reports, install, use, and maintain such monitoring equipment, and provide such information as is reasonably required.
- 2.4. "Discharge of a pollutant" is defined by Section 502(12) of the Act, 33 U.S.C.§ 1362(12) to mean "any addition of any pollutant to navigable waters from any point source."
- 2.5. "Pollutant" is defined by Section 502(6) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6), to include solid waste, biological materials, and industrial waste discharged to water.
- 2.6. "Navigable waters" is defined by Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7) to mean "the waters of the United States, including the territorial seas."

COMPLAINT - 2 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0232

24

25

- 2.7. "Point source" is defined by Section 502(14) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14), to include "any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe,...[or] conduit...from which pollutants are or may be discharged."
- 2.8. "Person" is defined by Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), to include corporations.
- 2.9. Section 309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g), authorizes the Administrator of EPA to assess an administrative penalty if she finds that any person is in violation of Section 301 or Section 308 of the Act or is in violation of any permit condition or limitation implementing those Sections in a permit issued under 402 of the Act.

III. ALLEGATIONS

- 3.1. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Respondent owned and operated the Chilkoot seafood processing facility ("Facility"), located at Mile 5 Lutak Road, Haines, Alaska 99827.
- 3.2. Respondent is a "person" within the meaning of Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).
- 3.3. The Facility, which was under Respondent's control at all times relevant to this action, discharged seafood processing waste. Seafood processing wastes are pollutants within the meaning of Section 502(6) and (12) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6) and (12).
- 3.4. The Facility, which was under Respondent's control at all times relevant to this action, discharged pollutants from its seafood process waste pump. The waste pump is a point source, within the meaning of Section 502(14) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 3.5. The Facility, which was under Respondent's control at all times relevant to this action, discharged pollutants from the waste pump to Lutak Inlet. Lutak Inlet is subject to the

COMPLAINT - 3 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0232

12

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

23 24

25

ebb and flow of the tides and is therefore "navigable waters" and "waters of the United States" within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7).

3.6. Respondent discharged seafood processing waste and other wastewaters to Lutak Inlet without an NPDES permit from June through October for five consecutive years, specifically 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008. These discharges constitute violations of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), on each of the days of discharge.

IV. PROPOSED PENALTY

- 4.1. The discharges of pollutants described in above were unauthorized discharges of pollutants to waters of the United States and have resulted in more than 480 days of violation of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). Consequently, pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, the Respondent is liable for the administrative assessment of civil penalties in an amount not to exceed \$11,000 per violation for each day during which the violation continues, up to a maximum of \$177,500.
- 4.2. In accordance with Section 22.14(a)(4)(ii) of the Part 22 Rules, 40 C.F.R. § 22.14(a)(4)(ii), this Complaint does not include a specific penalty demand. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), EPA must, in determining the specific penalty to be assessed in this matter, take into account the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation, and, with respect to Respondent, ability to pay, prior history of violations, degree of culpability, economic benefit and savings (if any) resulting from the violation, and such other matters as justice may require. The following five paragraphs of this complaint briefly address each of these statutory penalty factors.
- 4.3. Nature, Circumstances, and Gravity of Violations: The proposed penalty reflects EPA's determination regarding Respondent's failure to apply for an NPDES permit and its unpermitted discharging of seafood processing waste, which are serious violations that

significantly undermine the CWA's regulatory scheme. The gravity of the violation is aggravated in this case because in addition to failing to apply for the requisite NPDES permit that resulted in unpermitted discharges of pollutants into Lutak Inlet, Respondent also failed to comply with relevant permit requirements while operating, including monitoring, submitting annual reports, completing dive surveys, and sampling and recording information.

- 4.4. Respondent's Ability to Pay: EPA has reviewed Respondent's financial condition and has not received sufficient financial information from Respondent to overcome the inference that the penalty need not be reduced further on account of this penalty factor. EPA will consider any additional information submitted by Respondent related to its ability to pay the proposed penalty.
- 4.5. <u>Respondent's History of Prior Violations</u>: EPA is unaware of Respondent having any history of prior violations of the CWA.
- 4.6. Respondent's Degree of Culpability: Respondent did not submit a Notice of Intent ("NOI") for NPDES coverage until after EPA's inspection on July 9, 2007. At that time EPA's general seafood permit had expired, and Respondent was unable to receive coverage. Respondent has continued to discharge without a permit to date, knowing that it does not have coverage under any NPDES permit.
- 4.7. Respondent's Economic Benefit: Respondent received an economic benefit by avoiding the costs of complying with the general seafood NPDES permit, including labor costs for performing sampling and monitoring, preparing annual reports and performing dive surveys.
- 4.8. Other Matters as Justice May Require: Credible and consistent enforcement of the CWA's requirements to apply for, obtain, and comply with NPDES permits regulating the discharge of seafood processing water is necessary to deter Respondent and other similarly situated from violating the law.

V.

4

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

5.1. Respondent has the right to file an Answer requesting a hearing on any material fact contained in this Complaint or on the appropriateness of the penalty proposed herein. Upon request, the Presiding Officer may hold a hearing for the assessment of these civil penalties, conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Part 22 Rules and the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551 et seq. A copy of the Part 22 Rules accompanies this Complaint.

OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

5.2. Respondent's Answer, including any request for hearing, must be in writing and must be filed with:

> Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Mail Stop ORC-158 Seattle, Washington 98101

VI. FAILURE TO FILE AN ANSWER

- 6.1. To avoid a default order being entered pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17, Respondent must file a written Answer to this Complaint with the Regional Hearing Clerk within thirty (30) days after service of this Complaint.
- 6.2. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.15, Respondent's Answer must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in this Complaint with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. Respondent's Answer must also state: (1) the circumstances or arguments which are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense; (2) the facts which Respondent intends to place at issue; and (3) whether a hearing is requested. Failure to admit, deny or explain any material factual allegations contained herein constitute an admission of the allegation.

COMPLAINT - 6 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0232

informal settlement conference to discuss the facts of this case, the proposed penalty, and the

7.1.

contact:

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

possibility of settling this matter. To request such a settlement conference, Respondent should Cara Steiner-Riley, Assistant Regional Counsel

Whether or not Respondent requests a hearing, Respondent may request an

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Mail Stop ORC-158 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1142

- 7.2. Note that a request for an informal settlement conference does not extend the thirty (30) day period of filing a written Answer to this Complaint, nor does it waive Respondent's right to request a hearing.
- 7.3. Respondent is advised that, after the Complaint is issued, the Part 22 Rules prohibit any ex parte (unilateral) discussion of the merits of these or any other factually related proceedings with the Administrator, the Environmental Appeals Board or its members, the Regional Judicial Officer, the Presiding Officer, or any other person who is likely to advise these officials in the decision of this case.

COMPLAINT - 7 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0232

Neither assessment nor payment of an administrative civil penalty pursuant to this

8.1.

COMPLAINT - 8 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0232

Complaint shall affect Respondent's continuing obligations to comply with: (1) the CWA and all other environmental statutes; (2) the terms and conditions of all applicable CWA permits; and (3) any Compliance Order issued to Respondent under Section 309(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a), concerning the violations alleged herein.

Dated this day of September __, 2009.

Edward J. Kowalski, Director

Office of Compliance and Enforcement

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing "Complaint" was sent to the following persons, in the manner specified, on the date below:

Original and one copy, hand-delivered:

Carol Kennedy, Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, M/S ORC-158
Suite 900
Seattle, Washington 98101

Copy, together with a cover letter and copy of the Part 22 Rules, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested to:

L. Edward Lapeyri President and Director Chilkoot Fish & Caviar, Inc. P.O. Box 1469 Haines, Alaska 99827

Dated 9/25/09

U.S. EPA Region 10